

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/723,017	11/27/2000	Nigel L. Bragg	583-1041	5639
7590 03/31/2004			EXAMINER	
William M. Lee, Jr.			GECKIL, MEHMET B	
Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson PO Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			2142	\mathcal{A}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

		λΛ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/723,017	NIGEL L. BRAGG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mehmet B. Geckil	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 No.	ovember 2000.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form FTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 LLS C & 110/o	\				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list (13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🗵 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/723,017

Art Unit: 2142

الم

- 1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tappan.
- 4. <u>Tappan</u> (6,473,421) taught the invention substantially as claimed including a communication system comprising:
- a) a host (Figure 2) operably connected to a first network router (I-ASBR) having a first address space associated therewith (e.g., prefix P), the first network router being arranged to receive from a second network router (E-ASBR) information relating to at least one address space (col 6, line 15 et seq), wherein the host is arranged to process the information relating to the at least one address space in order to ascertain an available return route for use by a communication in reply to an outgoing communication from the host (col 6, line 15 et seq.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the network routing art at the time of the invention that the claimed invention differed from the teachings of Tappan et al only by a degree, e.g. in the

Application/Control Number: 09/723,017

Art Unit: 2142

claimed network entity but this is no more than a difference in a degree because network hosts as well as all other devices that are connected to a network are considered as network entities with the understanding that the host which is arranged to determine a return route is performing a routing function and therefore the host is considered as the router. With this understanding, Tappan's I-ASBR and E-ASBR internal routers receive information from each other in order process the information to determine a return route (see col 6, line 15 et seq.) Other claimed features are all obvious variations of the well known features of routing art, e.g., it is well known in the routing art that BGP routers are hierarchically operated (see Abstract.) it is also well known in the art that the subnetwork address space of a subdomain within a domain is a subset of the address space of the domain address space. For example, If a domain A has an address space X.X.X.X /24 the subdomain B of this domain let's say has the address X.X.X.X /27 and this address space of B is clearly is a subset of domain A. Also Subdomain and Domain are hierarchical in nature. These are all well known to one of ordinary skill in the routing art. Also, the claims recite the word "inherited" but Border routers exchange information and thus they inherit the information.

Page 3

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehmet Geckil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 A.M. to 3:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jack Harvey, can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are listed hereinbelow.

Application/Control Number: 09/723,017

Art Unit: 2142

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700. Customer service number is (703) 306-5631.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

3/29/04

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Meht Gee V